

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,409	09/14/2000	JEFFREY A. WOLK	100/06410	1271	
21569	7590 11/13/2003	EXAMINER			
CALIPER TECHNOLOGIES CORP 605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE			STARSIAK, JOHN S		
	VIEW, CA 94043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753	7	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)

	09/662,40)	UEITIE	Y A Wait	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
<u> </u>	J.STARSIA	K	1753	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on th cover sheet be	neath the co	rrespondence ad	ldress—
riod for Reply			•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	_ MONTH(S)	FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refer to Provide the Provi	oly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to	mum of thirty (3 m the mailing da become ABAN	0) days will be considered of this communic DONED (35 U.S.C. §	dered timely. ation. 133).
atus				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Septem</u>	nber 2803			·
This action is FINAL .		•		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 		ecution as to	o the merits is c	losed in
sposition of Claims				
☑ Claim(s) 2- 9	is/are p	_ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are w	ithdrawn from cor	nsideration.
☐ Claim(s)				
≥ Claim(s) 1-4,8, and 9		is/are re	jected.	
			•	
⊠ Claim(s) 5-7			ojected to.	
		is/are ol	ect to restriction of	or election
□ Claim(s)plication Papers		is/are of are subj	ect to restriction on nent	or election
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approved ∣	is/are of are subj	ect to restriction on nent	or election
☐ Claim(s) plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	is □ approved ∣	is/are of are subj	ect to restriction on nent	or election
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approved ∣	is/are of are subj	ect to restriction on nent	or election
□ Claim(s) plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved ∣	is/are of are subj	ect to restriction on nent	or election
□ Claim(s)	is □ approved led to by the Examiner	is/are ol i are subj requirer i disapprove	ect to restriction on nent	or election
□ Claim(s) plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved led to by the Examiner	is/are ol i are subj requirer i disapprove	ect to restriction on nent	or election
□ Claim(s) plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the:	is □ approved led to by the Examiner	is/are ol i are subj requirer i disapprove	ect to restriction on nent	or election
□ Claim(s)	is □ approved led to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived.	is/are ol is are subj requirer disapprove disapprove	ect to restriction onent	or election
□ Claim(s)	is approved ed to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived.	is/are ol is are subj requirer disapprove disapprove	ect to restriction onent	or election
Delication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved bed to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived.	is/are of are subject requirer disapprove d	ect to restriction onent	or election
Delication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived. Ceived in Application Nothave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are of are subject requirer disapprove (d).	ect to restriction onent	or election
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived. Ceived in Application Nothave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are of are subject requirer disapprove (d).	ect to restriction onent	or election
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved bed to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are of are subject requirer disapprove d	ect to restriction onent	or election
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received: ■ tachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 	is approved led to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived. Ceived in Application Nother been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are of are subject requirer disapproved disapprove	nect to restriction on the next of the nex	
□ Claim(s)	is approved ed to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(is/are of are subject requirer disapproved disapprove	ect to restriction onent	

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United states before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English Language.

Claims 2-4, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Parce et al.

Parce et al. teaches [col. 3, lines 62-65]: "FIG. 1 discloses a representative diagram of an exemplary microfluidic system 100 according to the present invention. As shown, the overall device 100 is fabricated in a planar substrate 102." Parce et al. teaches [col. 2, lines 59-64]: "The present invention also provides for a electropipettor which is compatible with a microfluidic system which moves subject materials with electroosmotic forces. The electropipettor has a capillary having a channel. An electrode is attached along the outside length of the capillary and terminates in a electrode ring at the end of the capillary." Parce et al. teaches [col. 8, line 30-49]: "As illustrated in FIG. 4A, an electropipettor 250 is formed by a hollow capillary tube 251. The capillary tube 251 has a channel 254 with the dimensions of the channels of the microfluidic

Art Unit: 1753

system 100 to which the channel 254 is fluidly connected. As shown in FIG. 4A, the channel 254 is a cylinder having a cross-sectional diameter in the range of 1-100 μ m. With a diameter of approximately 30 μ m being preferable. An electrode 252 runs down the outside wall of the capillary tube 251 and terminates in a ring electrode 253 around the end of the tube."

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 to 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02 September 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are not well-taken for the following reasons. First, the applicant argues "In Figure 4A of Parce, the conductive layer 252 does not extend all the way from a point proximal to the "first end". While Figure 4A fails to illustrate where electrode 252 ends relative the substrate 102, this fact fails to positively demonstrate that the electrode 252 does not extend to a point proximal to substrate 102. This argument fails to address the statement in the "Summary of the Invention" of Parce, i.e. "An electrode is attached along the outside length of

Art Unit: 1753

the capillary". Without any teaching elsewhere in the reference, "the outside length of the capillary" should be interpreted as the entire length of the capillary. Applicant argues that "the conductive layer 252 does extend....all the way to the "second end". The applicant is misinterpreting the examiner's rejection. Specifically, the examiner considers the "conductive layer" of the claims to read on the combination of electrode 252 and ring electrode 253 of Parce.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Art Unit: 1753

should be directed to John S. Starsiak Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

NAM NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

John S. Starsiak Jr.

10 November 2003